arXiv:0903.2510v1 [math.CO] 13 Mar 2009

On the volume set of point sets in vector spaces over finite fields

Le Anh Vinh
Mathematics Department
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA, 02138, US
vinh@math.harvard.edu

March 13, 2009

Abstract

We show that if $\mathcal{E}$ is a subset of the d-dimensional vector space over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ ($d \geq 3$) of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \geq (d-1)q^{d-1}$, then the set of volumes of d-dimensional parallelepipeds determined by $\mathcal{E}$ covers $\mathbb{F}_q$. This bound is sharp up to a factor of $(d-1)$ as taking $\mathcal{E}$ to be a $(d-1)$-hyperplane through the origin shows.

1 Introduction

Let q be an odd prime power, and let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of q elements. The distribution of the determinant of matrices with entries in a restricted subset of $\mathbb{F}_q$ has been studied recently by various researchers (see, for example, [1, 2, 5, 6] and the references therein). In particular, Covert et al. [2] studied this problem in a more general setting. They examined the distribution of volumes of d-dimensional parallelepipeds determined by a large subset of $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. More precisely, for any $\boldsymbol{x}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^d \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, define $\mathrm{vol}(\boldsymbol{x}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^d)$ as the determinant of the matrix whose rows are $\boldsymbol{x}^j$s. The focus of [2] is to study the cardinality of the volume set

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{E}) = \{\mathrm{vol}(\boldsymbol{x}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^d) : \boldsymbol{x}^j \in \mathcal{E}\}.$$

A subset $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ is called a product-like set if $|\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}_n| \ll |\mathcal{E}|^{n/d}$ for any n-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Covert et al. [2] showed that if $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ is a product-like set of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{15/8}$, then $\mathbb{F}_q^* \subseteq \mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{E})$. When $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^3$ is an arbitrary set, they obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.1 *([2, Theorem 2.10]) Suppose that $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^3$ of cardinality $|\mathcal{E}| \geqslant Cq^2$ for a sufficiently large constant $C > 0$. There exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$|\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{E})| \geqslant cq.$$

In this short note, we show that, under the same condition, $\mathcal{E}$ determines all possible volumes. More precisely, we will prove the following general result.

Theorem 1.2 *When* $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$ *and* $|\mathcal{E}| \geq (d-1)q^{d-1}$, $\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Remark 1.3 *The assumption* $|\mathcal{E}| \geq (d-1)q^{d-1}$ *is sharp up to a factor of* $(d-1)$ *as taking* $\mathcal{E}$ *to be a* $(d-1)$*-hyperplane through the origin shows.*

Note that the implied constant in the symbol '$\gg$' may depend on integer parameter d. We recall that the notation $U \gg V$ is equivalent to the assertion that $|U| \geqslant c|V|$ holds for some constant $c > 0$.

2 Preparations

Recall that

$$\mathrm{vol}(\boldsymbol{x}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^d) = \boldsymbol{x}^1 \cdot (\boldsymbol{x}^2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \boldsymbol{x}^d),$$

where the dot product is defined by the usual formula

$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = u_1 v_1 + \ldots + u_d v_d.$$

The generalized cross product, also called the wedge product, is given by the identity

$$\boldsymbol{u}^2 \wedge \ldots \wedge \boldsymbol{u}^d = \det \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \boldsymbol{u}^2 \\ \ldots \\ \boldsymbol{u}^d \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\boldsymbol{i} = (\boldsymbol{i}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{i}^d)$ indicates the coordinate directions in $\mathbb{F}_q^d$.

2.1 Geometric Incidence Estimates

One of our main tools is a two-set version of the geometric incidence estimate developed by D. Hart, A. Iosevich, D. Koh, and M. Rudnev in [4] (see also [3] for an earlier version and [2] for a function version of this estimate). Note that going from one set formulation in the proof of [4, Theorem 2.1] to a two-set formulation is just a matter of inserting a different letter into a couple of places.

Lemma 2.1 *([4, Theorem 2.1]) Let* $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ *be a non-degenerate bilinear form in* $\mathbb{F}_q^d$*. For any* $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$*, let*

$$\nu_{t,B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{B(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})=t} \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{x})\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{y}),$$

where, here and throughout the paper, $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{x})$ denotes the characteristic function of $\mathcal{E}$. We have

$$\nu_{t,B}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}) = |\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}|q^{-1} + R_{t,B}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}),$$

where

$$|R_{t,B}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})|^2 \leqslant |\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}|q^{d-1}, \text{if } t \neq 0.$$

As a corollary of Lemma 2.1, D. Hart and A. Iosevich [3] derived the following result.

Corollary 2.2 *([3, 4]) For any $\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$, let*

$$\mathcal{E}\cdot\mathcal{F} = \{\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{v} : \boldsymbol{u}\in\mathcal{E}, \boldsymbol{v}\in\mathcal{F}\}.$$

We have $\mathbb{F}_q^* \subseteq \mathcal{E}\cdot\mathcal{F}$ when $|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}| \gg q^{d+1}$.

We also need the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3 *Let $B(\cdot,\cdot)$ be a non-degenerate bilinear form in $\mathbb{F}_q^2$. For any $\mathcal{E}\in\mathbb{F}_q^2$, let*

$$B^*(\mathcal{E}) = \{B(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) : \boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}\in\mathcal{E}\}\backslash\{0\}.$$

We have

$$|B^*(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})| \geqslant q\left(1 - \frac{q+q^{3/2}}{|\mathcal{E}|+q^{3/2}}\right).$$

Proof For any $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathcal{E}$, there exist at most q vectors $\boldsymbol{y}$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{y}=0$. Hence,

$$\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \nu_{t,B}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) \geqslant |\mathcal{E}|^2 - q|\mathcal{E}|. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$\nu_{t,B}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}) \leqslant \frac{|\mathcal{E}|^2}{q} + q^{1/2}|\mathcal{E}|, \tag{2.2}$$

for any $t\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$. The corollary follows immediately from (2.1) and (2.2). □

2.2 Cross-product set

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be any d-dimensional vector space over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\{\boldsymbol{v}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{v}^d\}$ be an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{H}$. For any d vectors $\boldsymbol{u}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{u}^d\in\mathcal{H}$, each vector $\boldsymbol{u}^i$ can be written uniquely as a linear combination of $\{\boldsymbol{v}^1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{v}^d\}$, i.e.

$$\boldsymbol{u}^i = \sum_{j=1}^d u_j^i \boldsymbol{v}^j, \;\; u_j^i\in\mathbb{F}_q, 1\leqslant j\leqslant d.$$

We have

$$\boldsymbol{u}^1\wedge\boldsymbol{u}^2\wedge\ldots\wedge\boldsymbol{u}^d = \det\left((u_j^i)_{i,j=1}^d\right)\boldsymbol{v}^1\wedge\ldots\wedge\boldsymbol{v}^d. \tag{2.3}$$

For any $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, define

$$\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{E},d} := \left\{ \det\left((u^i_j)^d_{i,j=1} \right) : \boldsymbol{u}^i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} u^i_j \boldsymbol{v}^j \in \mathcal{E}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d \right\} \backslash \{0\}. \tag{2.4}$$

For any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$ and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$, let

$$g_{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \#\{(\boldsymbol{u}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}^{d-1}) \in \mathcal{E}^{d-1} : \boldsymbol{u}^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \boldsymbol{u}^{d-1} = \boldsymbol{x}\}.$$

Define the cross-product set of $\mathcal{E}$,

$$\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}} = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : g_{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq 0\} \backslash \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}.$$

For any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \backslash \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$, let $\mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{x}} := \boldsymbol{x}^{\perp} = \{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y} = 0\}$. It is clear that $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}} \backslash \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$ if and only if there exist $\boldsymbol{u}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}^{d-1} \in \mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{x}} \cap \mathcal{E}$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{u}^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \boldsymbol{u}^{d-1} = \boldsymbol{x}. \tag{2.5}$$

It follows from (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) that

$$\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}} \cap \{l\boldsymbol{x} : l \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\} = \mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}^{\boldsymbol{x}}, d-1}.$$

Hence, we have proved the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 *For any $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$, we have*

$$|\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}}| = \sum_{H \in G(d-1,d)} \left| \mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{E} \cap H, d-1} \right|,$$

where $G(d-1, d)$ is the set of all $(d-1)$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^d$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The proof proceeds by induction. We first consider the base case, $d = 3$. We show that if $|\mathcal{E}| > 2q^2$, then the cross-product set $\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}}$ is large. From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}}| = \sum_{\mathcal{H} \in G(2,3)} |\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}, 2}|. \tag{3.1}$$

Since each nonzero vector lies in $(q + 1)$ two-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^2$,

$$\sum_{\mathcal{H} \in G(2,3)} |\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}| = (q + 1)|\mathcal{E}|.$$

Let

$$G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(2,3)} = \{\mathcal{H} \in G(2, 3) : |\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}| > q\},$$

we have

$$\sum_{\mathcal{H}\in G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(2,3)}} |\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H}| > (q+1)|\mathcal{E}| - q|G(2,3)| = (q+1)|\mathcal{E}| - q(q^2+q+1) > q^3.$$

Corollary 2.3 implies that

$$|\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H},2}| \geqslant q\left(1 - \frac{q+q^{3/2}}{|\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H}|+q^{3/2}}\right),$$

for any $\mathcal{H}\in G(2,3)$. Since

$$f(x) = 1 - \frac{q+q^{3/2}}{x+q^{3/2}}$$

is a concave function on $[q,q^2]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathcal{H}\in G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(2,3)}} |\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H},2}| &\geqslant q\sum_{\mathcal{H}\in G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(2,3)}}\left(1 - \frac{q+q^{3/2}}{|\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H}|+q^{3/2}}\right)\\ &\geqslant q\frac{\sum_{\mathcal{H}\in G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(2,3)}}|\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H}|}{q^2}\left(1 - \frac{q+q^{3/2}}{q^2+q^{3/2}}\right)\\ &> q^2\left(1-q^{-1/2}\right) > q^2/2. \qquad (3.2)\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that $|\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}}| > q^2/2$. Hence, $|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}}| > q^4$. Corollary 2.2 implies that $\mathbb{F}_q^* \subseteq \mathcal{E}\cdot\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}} \subseteq \mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{E})$. By choosing a matrix of identical rows, we have $0\in\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{E})$. The base case $d=3$ follows.

Suppose that the theorem holds for $d-1\geqslant 3$, we show that it also holds for d. Similarly, we show that if $|\mathcal{E}| > (d-1)q^{d-1}$ then the cross-product set $\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}}$ is large. Since each nonzero vector lies in $(q^{d-1}-1)/(q-1)$ $(d-1)$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^d$,

$$\sum_{\mathcal{H}\in G(d-1,d)} |\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H}| = \frac{q^{d-1}-1}{q-1}|\mathcal{E}|.$$

Let

$$G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(d-1,d)} = \{\mathcal{H}\in G(d-1,d) : |\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H}| > (d-2)q^{d-2}\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathcal{H}\in G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(d-1,d)}} |\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H}| &> \frac{q^{d-1}-1}{q-1}|\mathcal{E}| - (d-2)q^{d-1}|G(d-1,d)|\\ &= \frac{(q^{d-1}-1)|\mathcal{E}| - (d-2)q^{d-2}(q^d-1)}{q-1}\\ &> q^d,\end{aligned}$$

when q is sufficiently large (in fact, $q > d$ is enough). Since $|\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{H}| \leqslant q^{d-1}$ for each $\mathcal{H} \in G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(d-1,d)}$,

$$|G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(d-1,d)}| > q^d/q^{d-1} = q. \tag{3.3}$$

By induction hypothesis, for any $\mathcal{H} \in G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(d-1,d)}$,

$$|\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H},d-1}| = q - 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Putting (3.3), (3.4) and Lemma 2.4 together, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}}| = \sum_{\mathcal{H}\in G(d-1,d)} |\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H},d-1}| > \sum_{\mathcal{H}\in G^{\mathcal{E}}_{(d-1,d)}} |\mathcal{D}^*_{\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{H},d-1}| > q(q-1) > q^2/2.$$

Hence, $|\mathcal{E}||\mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}}| > q^{d+1}$. Corollary 2.2 implies that $\mathbb{F}^*_q \subseteq \mathcal{E} \cdot \mathcal{F}^*_{\mathcal{E}} \subseteq \mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{E})$. By choosing a matrix of identical rows, we have $0 \in \mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{E})$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

[1] O. Ahmadi and I. E. Shparlinski, Distribution of matrices with restricted entries over finite fields, *Inda. Mathem.* **18**(3) (2007), 327–337.

[2] D. Covert, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, D. Koh, and M. Rudnev, Generalized incidence theorems, homogeneous forms and sum-product estimates in finite fields, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, to appear.

[3] D. Hart and A. Iosevich, Sums and products in finite fields: an integral geometric viewpoint, *Contemporary Mathematics*, Radon transforms, geometry, and wavelets, **464** (2008).

[4] D. Hart, A. Iosevich, D. Koh, and M. Rudnev, Averages over hyperplanes, sum-product theory in vector spaces over finite fields and the Erdös-Falconer distance conjecture, preprint (2007).

[5] L. A. Vinh, On the distribution of determinants of matrices with restricted entries over finite fields, *Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory*, to appear.

[6] L. A. Vinh, Singular matrices with restricted rows in vector spaces over finite fields, submitted.